

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,264	01/02/2001	Andrew W. Chow	8957	
	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Andrew W. Chow 15306 Parkville Drive			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
Houston, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3673	_
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- M
. '	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/751,264	CHOW, ANDREW	W.
Authory Monon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper repict places the application in the contract of the contract	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distallatory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Solution 136(a) and the appropriate existence in the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · ·	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 2 and 3.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-	
10. Other:	(-/(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 -	
	•	Frederick L. Lagma	<u> </u>
		Examiner	
		Art Unit: 3673	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the inclusion of the superstructure being capable of floating and providing buoyancy raises new issues that would require further consideration.